

A Negative Theorem on Superpositions

R. KAUFMAN

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801

Communicated by G. G. Lorentz

Received November 16, 1974

Let V be a bounded open set in Euclidean space R^n ($n \geq 2$) and f_1, \dots, f_r continuously differentiable mappings of V into R^{n-1} , of rank $n - 1$ everywhere on V . (This is not essential, as explained in [4].) The set $S(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ consisting of all sums $\sum g_\kappa \circ f_\kappa$, wherein each g_κ is bounded and continuous on all of R^{n-1} , is the linear space of *superpositions*. When E is a compact subset of V , we say that $S(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ covers the Banach space $C(E)$, if the restriction of the functions in S , to E , represent all continuous real functions on E . This never occurs when E has interior points, and the same analysis is valid when E has positive Lebesgue measure [4]. A more interesting theorem concerns sets E with this negative property in relation to $S(f_1, \dots, f_r)$, but favorably disposed for representation of continuous functions by superposition.

THEOREM. *There exists a compact set $E \subseteq V$, on which $S(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ fails to cover C , while all mappings of class $C^1(V^-)$, except a set of first category, are one-one on E .*

In proving that $S(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ is of first category in $C(E)$ we find a measure $\mu \geq 0$, $\mu \neq 0$, carried by E , and unimodular continuous function ψ_j , so that

$$\left| \int \psi_j(x) g \circ f_\kappa(x) \mu(dx) \right| \leq \epsilon_j \|g\|_\infty, \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq r,$$

with $\lim \epsilon_j = 0$. The first step in formation of a set E of this type, in combination with the positive property relative to $C^1(V^-)$, is selection of a special set of basis vectors in R^n . Let $\Gamma_1(x), \dots, \Gamma_r(x)$ be the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional subspaces of R^n , spanned by the Jacobian matrices of f_1, \dots, f_r at x . Then each Γ_κ depends continuously on x , when construed as an element of a certain (Grassmannian) manifold of subspaces, whence the measurability of certain sets is assured, and the validity of Fubini's theorem.

LEMMA. *There exists a basis u_1, \dots, u_n of R^n and a set N of measure 0 in V such that for each integral combination $p_1 u_1 + \dots + p_n u_n \neq 0$,*

$$p_1 u_1 + \dots + p_n u_n \notin \cup \Gamma_\kappa(x), \quad \text{unless } x \in N.$$

Proof. The vectors u_1, \dots, u_n are chosen from the space $R^{n^2} = R^n \times \dots \times R^n$ so that almost all choices $U = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ from a basis for R^n . Let Γ be a set of Lebesgue measure 0 in R^n , then the set

$$U: p_1 x_1 + \dots + p_n x_n \in \Gamma$$

has measure 0 in R^{n^2} , provided $|p_1| + \dots + |p_n| > 0$. Setting $\Gamma = \cup \Gamma_\kappa(x)$, for x in V , we obtain the lemma by applying Fubini's theorem to the space $R^{n^2} \times V$.

Henceforth u_1, \dots, u_n is a special basis and H a compact subset of $V \sim N$. Let φ be an element of the Lebesgue space $L^1(H) \subseteq L^1(V)$ and $w = p_1 u_1 + \dots + p_n u_n \neq 0$. The mapping $F = (w \cdot x, f_\kappa(x))$ into $R^1 \times R^{n-1} = R^n$ is nonsingular everywhere on H . The implicit function theorem and a change of variables, lead to an identity

$$\int_{R^n} h(w \cdot x) g \circ f_\kappa(x) \cdot \varphi(x) dx = \int_{R^n} h(y_1) g(y_2, \dots, y_n) \tilde{\varphi}(y) dy$$

for all bounded functions h on R^1 and g on R^{n-1} , where $\tilde{\varphi}$ depends on φ, w, κ and $\|\tilde{\varphi}\|_1 = \|\varphi\|_1$. From this we obtain the basic inequality.

$$\left| \int h(w \cdot x) g \circ f_\kappa \cdot \varphi(x) dx \right| \leq \int \left| \int h(y_1) \tilde{\varphi}(y_1, \dots, y_r) dy_1 \right| dy_2 \cdots dy_n$$

if $|g| \leq 1$ on R^{n-1} . If we choose $h_\lambda(t) = e^{i\lambda t}$, then the integral on the right tends to 0 as $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$.

Let φ_0 be the characteristic function of H ; for large enough λ we have

$$\left| \int h_\lambda(u_1 \cdot x) g \circ f_\kappa(x) \cdot \varphi_0(x) dx \right| < \|g\|_\infty / 4, \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq r.$$

Our plan is to replace the measure $d\mu_0(x) = \varphi_0(x) dx$, by a sequence of measures μ_s , whose closed supports decrease to the required set E . After s steps we attain a function $\varphi_s > 0$ with these properties:

$$\|\varphi_0\|_1 < 2 \|\varphi_s\|_1 < 4 \|\varphi_0\|_1, \quad (1)$$

$$\left| \int h_{\lambda_j}(u_1 \cdot x) g \circ f_\kappa \cdot \varphi_s(x) dx \right| \leq \epsilon_j \|g\|_\infty, \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq r, \quad (2)$$

where $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$ are real and $\epsilon_j < 2^{-j-1}, 0 \leq j \leq s$. The functions h_{λ_j} are, of course, the ψ_j 's mentioned before.

To continue the process, of constructing our sequence of measures and functions, we take a function $T \geq 0$, of period 2π in each variable and of class $C^\infty(R^n)$, and of mean-value 1, and (most important) $T(t_1, \dots, t_n) = 0$ unless $|t_\kappa| < 2^{-s}$ (modulo 2π) for each coordinate. We shall set $\varphi_{s+1} = T(Yu_1 \cdot x, \dots, Yu_n \cdot x) \varphi_s$ for large Y so that $\varphi_{s+1} \geq 0$ and the closed support of μ_{s+1} is contained in that of μ_s . Now T admits an absolutely convergent Fourier expansion

$$T(t) - 1 = \sum' a(p_1, \dots, p_n) \exp i(p_1 t_1 + \dots + p_n t_n).$$

Observe that if we replace t_κ by $Yu_\kappa \cdot x$ the sum can then be abbreviated $\sum'_w a(w) \exp iY(w \cdot x)$, wherein w takes all nonzero values $p_1 u_1 + \dots + p_n u_n$. In combination with the absolute convergence $\sum |a(w)| < \infty$ and calculations made above, this leads to inequalities (for $1 \leq \kappa \leq r, 0 \leq j \leq s$

$$\left| \int [T(Yu_1 \cdot x, \dots, Yu_n \cdot x) - 1] g \circ f_\kappa(x) \cdot \varphi_s(x) \psi_j(x) dx \right| < \eta(Y) \|g\|_\infty, \quad \text{where } \eta(\infty) = 0.$$

For large numbers Y , this yields the first $s + 1$ inequalities of (2) for φ_{s+1} with $\epsilon_j' < 2^{-j-1}$, and we obtain the last inequality by fixing Y and choosing $\psi_{s+1} = \exp(\lambda u_1 \cdot x)$ for a large number λ_{s+1} . To attain the inequalities on $\|\varphi_{s+1}\|_1$ we have merely to write $g = 1$ and replace ψ_j by 1.

The weak* limit of the sequence (μ_s) obviously has the negative property required of μ , with the given sequence ψ_j . Moreover, on the support E of μ

$$|y_s u_1 \cdot x| \leq 2^{-s}, \dots, |y_s u_n \cdot x| \leq 2^{-s} \quad (\text{modulo } 2\pi)$$

for a sequence $y_s \rightarrow +\infty$. For $n = 2$, sets with this property were investigated in [2] under the name *Dirichlet sets*. It was proved that $C^1(V^-)$ contains a residual set of mappings, one-one on E ; in fact for each f in $C^1(V^-)$ there is a g of this type, so that the first partial derivatives of $f-g$ vanish everywhere on E . In particular, f can be one of the coordinates of f_1 . The analysis for the case $n = 2$ applies with few changes in general, and the proof is thereby complete.

A somewhat different method allows us to construct a set E_1 , so that $S(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ does not cover $C(E_1)$, but some linear form L is one-one on E_1 . (Hence L is real-analytic, while the category method of [2] produces only C^1 functions.) The proof of this consists in constructing a set E_1 with a far stronger property (borrowed from Fourier analysis [5, Chap. 5; 1, Chap. 7]): each continuous function on E_1 , of modulus 1, is a uniform limit of

exponentials $e(x) = e^{iyL(x)}$. To prove this we have only to find an open set on which each mapping $(L, f_1), \dots, (L, f_r)$ is a diffeomorphism into R^n . The formation of E_1 , and the proof that $S(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ does not cover $C(E_1)$, then follows the inductive procedure of [3].

REFERENCES

1. J.-P. KAHANE, Séries de Fourier absolument convergentes, *Ergebnisse Math. Bd.* **50** (1970).
2. R. KAUFMAN, A metric property of some planar sets, *Colloq. Math.* **23** (1971), 117–120.
3. R. KAUFMAN, Topics on Kronecker sets. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **23** (1973), 65–73.
4. R. KAUFMAN, Linear superpositions of smooth functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **46** (1974), 360–362.
5. W. RUDIN, “Fourier Analysis on Groups,” Interscience, New York, 1962.